

From: Sudha
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/28/02 11:04am
Subject: LOGICAL EXPLANATION - Freedom to Innovate

Below are comments to specific issues addressed in the Court Case,
<http://www.usdoj.gov/atr/cases/ms-settle.htm#docs>

Item #2: Someone else please invent a better operating system than Windows! Also if MS Windows has monopoly, what about Intel -- would they be "monopolizing" the intel chip market?

Item #3: A better operating system will always win the user market.

Item #4: How ridiculous! When Netscape owned 70% of the market, was it not a monopoly?

Item #7: Java is very difficult to learn. Training is unaffordably expensive.

Item #11: Netscape is NOT the browser innovator -- give credit to the real innovator, please!!! (universities!)

Item #18: Microsoft has a right to "tie" all ITS products together!
Integrating applications makes better use of system resources.

Item #24, 25: As long as Windows is the operating system used, the creator of Windows, who is Microsoft, has the right to present it anywhich way to the users as they please -- basic human right of ownership!

Additional Comments: Seems to me like other vendors like IBM and Sun and Netscape had nothing to complain about as long as THEY owned the lion's share of the market. Their products were difficult to use and hard to learn.

Microsoft brought the computing technology to the layman's door making it possible for the total computer illiterate people to be able to actually use the computer in effective and efficient ways, which would have been totally impossible otherwise!

Sudha

Database Administrator
Department of Human Oncology

Telephone: 608.263.1549

Email: <<mailto:sudha@mail.humonc.wisc.edu>> sudha@mail.humonc.wisc.edu